

Wine Grape Cultivar Trial Performance in 2008

Paul Domoto, professor
Gail Nonnecke, professor
Department of Horticulture
Joe Hannan, Dennis Portz, Leah Riesselman
& Lisa Smiley, Ag specialist
Bernie Havlovic, Nick Howell, Ken Pecinovsky,
and Kevin Van Dee, farm superintendents
ISU Research Farms

Introduction

To assess the regional adaptation of wine grape cultivars in Iowa, a trial was established in 2003 through an Iowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship (IDALS) specialty crops grant awarded to the Iowa Wine Growers Association (IWGA). The trial was designed to evaluate up to 20 cultivars or advanced selections at four Iowa State University (ISU) farms representing different geographic, climatic, and soil conditions: Horticulture Research Station (HRS), Ames; the Armstrong Research Farm (ARF), Lewis; the Southeast Research Farm (SERF), Crawfordsville; and the Northeast Research Farm (NERF), Nashua. The SERF and NERF plantings also included the 15 cultivars being evaluated in the 2002 grape cultivar by management system trial. This report summarizes the results for the 2008 growing season.

Materials and Methods

The vines were spaced 8×10 ft apart (545 vines/A) with three vines/replication. Treatments were replicated four times at each site (12 vines/cultivar). Vines were trained to a bilateral cordon system on a two-wire trellis with wires at 3.5 ft and 6.0 ft above the ground. Vines with a procumbent growth habit were being trained to the top wire, while those with a semi-upright to upright growth habit were trained to the mid-level wire with vertical shoot positioning (VSP) being practiced.

In mid-March, five proximal (basal) buds on

three canes per replication (15 buds) were dissected and examined for injury to determine if adjustments in pruning were needed. Vines were pruned and the 1-year-old trimmings were weighed. Bud retention was based on pruning weight, and adjusted for primary bud mortality when injury exceeded 15% for American cultivars and 20% for French-American hybrid cultivars. The length of established 2-year-old cordon was measured. Following bud break, shoots originating from primary buds were counted and excess basal shoots and double shoots were removed. Following *veraison*, berry samples were collected from the mid-cluster position to test for maturity based on percentage soluble solids (%SS), initial pH, and titratable acids (TA). Time of harvest was based upon these measurements, and fruit condition. At harvest, the number of clusters per vine were counted and weighed.

Results and Discussion

During the 2007-08 winter, vines were exposed to four significant freezes with NERF followed by HRS recording the lowest temperatures (Table 1). When cane buds were examined for injury prior to pruning, greater injury was found at NERF and HRS, than at ARF or SERF (Table 2). Although minimum temperatures recorded at ARF and SERF were similar, ARF experienced more freezing episodes at or below -10 F, and bud injury was greater there than at SERF. At all four sites, the injury was generally greatest on cultivars classified as being “slightly hardy” to “moderately hardy”, while those classified as being “very hardy” exhibited the least injury. The number of primary shoots per foot of cordon generally reflected the extent of primary bud injury recorded at the sites (Table 2). Because of minimal bud injury recorded at SERF, primary shoot counts were not recorded.

Base upon pruning weights, vines continue to grow best at ARF followed by HRS, while vines

at NERF grew the poorest (Table 3). La Crescent, De Chaunac and Prairie Star grew well at each of the sites. Marquette continues to grow well at HRS followed by ARF, but not at NERF or SERF. Among the 15 cultivars from the cultivar by management study, Mars, and Edelweiss had highest pruning weights at SERF, while at NERF La Crosse, St. Croix and Mars had the highest pruning weights. After five growing seasons, the amount of established cordon per vine continues to reflect the growing conditions of the site, cultivar cold tolerance and differences in exposure to freezing events (Table 3). Generally, “moderately hardy” cultivars are performing better at ARF and SERF than at NERF or HRS.

Vines at each of the sites were exposed to growth regulator herbicide drift during the growing season but symptoms of injury were not as severe as in previous years (data not shown). At each of the sites, NY76.0844.24 exhibited the greatest injury.

The 2008 growing season was characterized by a late spring; excessive rainfall in May, June and July; and cooler than normal growing conditions. Bud break at HRS ranged from 10 to 14 days later than in 2007 (Table 2). Accumulated growing degree days from 1 May to 1 October were below normal with ARF having the least departure from the average (Table 1). As a result, harvest was delayed compared to previous years with HRS having the greatest delay while ARF had the least delay of harvest (Table 4, and 5). In addition to the late spring and cool growing season, heavy crops at HRS may have contributed to the delayed harvest (Table 4). Generally, the highest yields were recorded at HRS, while vines at NERF, which suffered the greatest bud injury, had the lowest yields (Table 4 and 5). The ARF planting was hit by damaging winds in excess of 60 mph on 25 May, 27 June, and with hail on 8 July that reduced the crop. At SERF, a high incidence of diseases brought on by

frequent rains from bud break into mid-July affected yields. Even with weather related reduced yields at ARF and SERF, cultivars classified as being “moderately hardy” produced similar or higher yields at those sites that at HRS.

The desired initial pH for making white table wines is from 3.2 to 3.4, and 3.3 to 3.5 for red table wines. In previous years, a high initial has been a problem and the primary criteria used for determining when to harvest. In 2008, at ARF, SERF and NERF which had lighter crop loads, initial pH was the primary criteria for harvesting most wine cultivars (Table 4 and 5). At HRS, where vines had heavy crop loads, few cultivars attained the desirable initial pH range for white or red table wines. (*For more information, see “Maturation of Recently Released Cold Hardy Wine Grape Cultivars in Iowa: Corot noir, Frontenac Gris, La Crescent, Marquette, and Noiret”*)

Acknowledgments

Thanks to the IDALS and the IWGA for providing support to establish these plantings through a specialty crops grant, and the Iowa Grape and Wine Commission for previous funding. Thanks to the staff at the ISU Horticulture Research Station and the Armstrong, Southeast, and Northeast Research Farms for their assistance in maintaining the plantings.

Table 1. Significant minimum temperatures (°F) recorded during the 2007-08 winter and accumulated growing degree days from 1 May to 1 October, 2008.

Date	ARF	HRS	SERF	NERF
Minimum temperatures (°F):				
19 Jan	-11	-10	-4	-14
24 Jan	-12	-17	-13	-23
13 Feb	1	-9	-7	-14
20 Feb	-10	-4	-3	-14
Growing Degree Days (base 50 °F, cap. 86 °F):				
1May to 1Oct ^z	2,801	2,675	2,728	2,538
Departure from Avg.	-54	-156	-276	-137
Days above 86 °F	14	9	14	8

^z From the ISU Ag Climate Network.

Table 2. Primary bud injury recorded before pruning and subsequent primary shoot development following exposure to freezes during the 2007-08 winter for 20 cultivars in the ISU 2003 wine grape cultivar trial planted at the Armstrong Research Farm (ARF) and Horticulture Research Station (HRS), and the 35 cultivars at the Southeast (SERF), and Northeast (NERF) Research Farms.

Treatment	Relative hardiness ^z	% Primary Bud Injury				Bud Break ^y		1° Shoots / ft of Cordon		
		ARF	HRS	SERF	NERF	ARF	HRS	ARF	HRS	NERF
Vidal Blanc	4	33	96	6	95	139	136	4.2	.7	.0
Cayuga White	4	27	95	32	85	131	133	3.6	1.1	.4
Landot 4511	4	9	86	8	100	136	134	5.6	1.8	1.5
Noiret ^u	4	22	53	12	52	130	130	5.1	2.0	1.1
NY84.0101.04	4	13	53	2	87	134	132	5.0	2.6	.5
Corot noir ^u	4	15	38	42	76	135	132	4.7	1.9	.5
NY76.0844.24 ^x	4	18	25	0	60	128	128	5.5	3.4	3.2
De Chaunac	4	8	46	5	73	128	128	10.4	4.1	2.9
St. Vincent	4	27	40	0	96	135	131	5.2	3.1	1.4
Léon Millot	5	10	28	0	40	128	130	7.5	5.2	4.3
Esprit	5	20	25	2	33	128	128	4.7	2.7	3.0
GR-7	6	15	22	16	58	128	126	7.2	5.4	3.7
Chancellor	5	13	12	3	28	130	129	7.0	3.9	4.1
Brianna ^x	6	13	12	3	28	128	127	6.2	4.8	3.6
Swenson White ^y	6	8	9	4	51	128	128	4.9	2.7	2.8
MN-1198 ^x	6	3	9	0	17	128	126	6.2	4.7	3.9
Marquette ^{x,u}	6	15	7	0	17	128	127	7.4	4.9	4.8
Prairie Star	6	2	7	12	17	128	129	6.2	4.0	2.6
La Crescent	6	8	2	3	17	128	126	8.1	5.1	5.4
Frontenac Gris ^w	6	5	2	.	.	128	127	5.3	4.9	4.5
Chambourcin	3			25	98				.1	
Seyval Blanc	4			15	71				1.3	
Vignole	4			5	100				.6	
Traminette	4			10	88				.8	
Cynthiana	4			6	80				2.1	
Maréchal Foch	5			7	46				3.3	
St. Croix	6			0	27				4.3	
Edelweiss	5			5	20				3.2	
La Crosse	5			2	16				3.6	
Frontenac	6			0	9				5.1	
Marquis	4			20	100				.9	
Vanessa	4			15	93				.4	
Reliance	4			8	75				2.4	
Mars	4			18	72				3.6	
Jupiter	4			12	100				.6	
LSD, P<.05		13	18	14	18	1.0	1.4	1.1	.9	.9

^z Relative cold hardiness (temperature range at which injury begins to occur): 3 = Cold tender/slightly hardy (-5 F); 4 = Moderately hardy (-10 F); 5 = Hardy (-15 F); 6 = Very Hardy (-20 F).

^y Julian date; 126 = May 5, 2008

^x Planted in 2004.

^w Planted in 2004 at the Horticulture Research Farm, and in 2006 at the other sites.

^v Planted in 2005.

^u Named and released in 2006: Noiret (NY73.136.17); Corot noir (NY70.0809.10); and Marquette (MN-1211).

Table 3. Pruning weight and feet of established cordon following the 2007 growing season for 20 cultivars in the ISU 2003 wine grape cultivar trial planted at the Armstrong Research Farm (ARF) and the Horticulture Research Station (HRS), and 35 cultivars at the Southeast (SERF), and Northeast (NERF) Research Farms.

Treatment	Pruning weight (lb)				Feet of Cordon per Vine			
	ARF	HRS	SERF	NERF	ARF	HRS	SERF	NERF
GR-7	3.2	3.1	1.8	.9	7.4	6.3	6.7	5.3
Noiret ^w	3.3	2.3	2.7	.9	8.0	5.3	7.7	6.2
NY76.0844.24 ^z	1.0	1.6	.5	.2	1.8	5.0	3.8	1.0
NY84.0101.04	2.5	1.5	.6	.5	7.8	2.1	5.8	2.8
Corot noir ^w	2.4	1.4	1.1	.7	7.7	5.0	5.2	2.7
La Crescent	3.2	3.3	2.3	2.9	7.9	7.7	7.7	7.3
Prairie Star	3.3	2.9	1.5	1.1	7.6	7.6	6.2	6.8
Frontenac Gris ^y	.6	1.9	.3	.2	.7	7.8	.0	.0
Swenson White ^x	1.9	1.9	2.2	.7	4.1	5.9	4.5	.9
Brianna ^z	3.1	2.7	2.5	.7	7.0	7.8	6.3	4.8
Marquette ^{z, w}	2.2	3.4	1.5	.4	7.0	7.8	4.2	1.3
MN-1198 ^y	1.5	1.7	1.1	.2	5.2	6.7	4.3	2.1
Cayuga White	2.4	2.4	.9	.4	7.6	3.3	7.6	3.4
Chancellor	2.8	1.5	1.4	.6	7.9	6.2	6.0	3.4
De Chaunac	5.3	2.7	2.5	1.6	7.8	5.6	6.5	6.8
Esprit	2.8	2.3	1.2	.9	7.8	7.5	7.2	4.4
Landot 4511	2.1	1.7	1.2	.4	7.9	5.9	7.1	3.7
Léon Millot	3.2	2.7	1.0	.5	7.9	6.9	7.4	6.0
St. Vincent	3.5	2.6	2.1	.9	6.0	6.7	7.3	4.3
Vidal Blanc	2.7	2.0	1.0	.4	7.9	2.7	6.5	1.5
Maréchal Foch			.6	.4			5.8	4.4
Frontenac			1.4	.6			7.7	6.7
Cynthiana			1.4	.4			5.9	1.0
St. Croix			1.7	1.0			7.9	6.6
Chambourcin			1.1	.5			5.5	2.9
Seyval Blanc			1.5	.7			6.3	5.0
La Crosse			1.7	1.3			5.9	7.5
Vignole			1.4	.5			7.5	3.8
Traminette			1.3	.4			5.1	.9
Edelweiss			2.7	.8			6.9	4.9
Marquis			.8	.3			3.7	.4
Vanessa			1.5	.2			5.0	1.3
Reliance			1.7	.6			7.3	5.3
Mars			3.8	1.0			7.9	4.9
Jupiter			1.6	.7			5.8	2.1
LSD, P<.05	.7	.7	.7	.4	1.1	1.8	1.5	1.8

^z Planted in 2004.^y Planted in 2004 at the Horticulture Research Farm, and in 2006 at the other sites.^x Planted in 2005.^w Named and released in 2006: Noiret (NY73.136.17); Corot noir (NY70.0809.10); and Marquette (MN-1211).

Table 4. Fruit yield and harvest characteristics in 2008 for 20 cultivars in the ISU 2003 wine grape cultivar trial planted at the Armstrong Research Farm and Horticulture Research Station.

Treatment	Armstrong Research Farm						Horticulture Research Station						
	Harvest Date	% SS	pH ^y	TA ^z	Yield /vine (lb)	Cluster wt. (lb)	Harvest Date	% SS	pH	TA ^z	Yield /vine (lb)	Cluster wt. (lb)	
GR-7	9/5	18.3	3.59	11.9	11.1	.19	10/2	20.0	3.29	10.0	28.4	.23	
Noiret ^y	9/15	17.9	3.49	9.8	7.2	.22	10/14	19.6	3.28	6.6	10.5	.28	
NY76.0844.24 ^y	9/1	18.2	3.38	10.7	2.5	.10	9/18	19.0	2.83	11.7	16.1	.25	
NY84.0101.04	8/29	19.3	3.37	9.8	4.5	.18	9/18	20.2	3.21	8.9	4.6	.35	
Corot noir ^v	9/15	17.4	3.46	8.7	9.7	.24	10/14	18.4	3.29	5.8	14.9	.35	
La Crescent	8/29	18.9	3.40	12.6	3.7	.10	10/1	23.3	3.11	11.8	26.2	.22	
Prairie Star	8/29	16.6	3.57	11.1	6.2	.14	9/18 ^t	17.2	3.18	13.3	18.2	.18	
Frontenac Gris ^x	9/3	20.3	3.36	11.7	1.5	.06	10/1	24.5	3.18	12.4	22.8	.24	
Swenson White ^w	8/29	18.1	3.44	9.0	6.5	.22	9/18 ^t	19.0	3.15	7.7	22.6	.38	
Brianna ^y	8/28	18.2	3.55	9.5	10.8	.20	9/4	19.0	3.27	5.5	29.0	.29	
Marquette ^{s, v}	8/29	21.4	3.37	13.1	9.4	.13	10/1	25.7	3.13	10.1	20.9	.18	
MN-1198 ^y	9/1	22.8	3.47	14.9	9.1	.23	9/18 ^t	23.0	2.94	12.6	15.3	.23	
Cayuga White	9/1	18.9	3.28	5.4	6.8	.22	9/4	18.0	3.01	10.5	6.7	.37	
Chancellor	9/16	17.8	3.51	9.9	14.8	.21	10/2	20.4	3.18	7.5	21.3	.24	
De Chaunac	9/3	18.3	3.57	10.8	15.9	.18	10/20 ^t	20.6	3.12	9.6	17.8	.19	
Esprit	8/31	16.9	3.44	10.5	12.7	.37	9/25	19.2	3.24	6.8	25.2	.62	
Landot 4511	8/25	17.0	3.37	9.8	9.1	.19	10/2	21.6	3.16	7.9	10.8	.17	
Léon Millot	8/28	20.2	3.72	8.3	12.6	.16	9/3	20.8	3.19	8.9	26.5	.17	
St. Vincent	9/30	18.3	3.41	11.9	9.2	.29	10/14	20.0	3.08	12.0	16.9	.46	
Vidal Blanc	9/4	17.1	3.41	11.3	11.1	.29	10/20 ^t	22.6	3.23	9.9	4.6	.33	
<i>LSD, P<.05</i>					<i>3.1</i>	<i>.04</i>						<i>5.6</i>	<i>.05</i>

^z Titratable acids reported in grams/liter.^y Planted in 2004.^x Planted in 2004 at the Horticulture Research Farm, and in 2006 at the other sites.^w Planted in 2005.^v Named and released in 2006: Noiret (NY73.136.17); Corot noir (NY70.0809.10); and Marquette (MN-1211).^t Maturity tests were performed seven or more days before harvest.

Table 5. Fruit yield and harvest characteristics in 2008 for 35 cultivars in the ISU 2003 wine grape cultivar trial planted at the Southeast and Northeast Research Farms.

Treatment	Southeast Research Farm						Northeast Research Farm						
	Harvest Date	% SS	pH	TA ^z	Yield /vine (lb)	Cluster wt. (lb)	Harvest Date	% SS	pH	TA ^z	Yield /vine (lb)	Cluster wt. (lb)	
GR-7	9/11	20.3	3.52	9.9	6.3	.14	9/25	19.8	3.56	8.1	6.6	.24	
Noiret ^y	9/17	17.3	3.32	9.0	7.4	.28	9/25	16.6	3.45	9.5	2.1	.22	
NY76.0844.24 ^y	9/11 ^t	18.3	3.14	11.3	1.7	.14	9/23	22.0	.	.	.4	.12	
NY84.0101.04	8/27	18.2	3.34	9.9	5.8	.36	9/25	21.0	.	.	.7	.20	
Corot noir ^v	9/11	17.0	3.51	8.4	6.7	.42	9/29	17.2	3.49	7.7	3.0	.29	
La Crescent	9/11	22.7	3.41	11.7	6.7	.16	9/10	20.3	3.50	10.4	11.5	.27	
Prairie Star	8/27	18.2	3.56	8.9	10.5	.30	9/22	20.0	3.46	6.8	8.1	.29	
Frontenac Gris ^w	9/175	.140	.	
Swenson White ^x	8/27	17.2	3.38	10.5	7.2	.35	9/25	23.0	3.55	5.0	3.0	.27	
Brianna ^y	8/27	19.8	3.52	8.0	11.4	.25	9/17	22.0	3.60	5.0	7.0	.27	
Marquette ^{y,v}	8/27	24.8	3.34	11.1	3.8	.11	9/25	23.8	3.54	8.6	2.5	.16	
MN-1198 ^y	8/28	22.8	3.29	13.7	7.0	.26	9/30	24.7	3.34	11.1	2.1	.19	
Cayuga White	8/27	17.9	3.24	9.6	10.5	.50	9/25	20.4	3.26	7.7	1.3	.31	
Chancellor	9/11	18.3	3.40	8.6	6.9	.16	9/24	20.2	3.48	8.9	5.4	.24	
De Chaunac	9/11	20.3	3.44	8.4	7.6	.17	9/24	20.0	3.47	8.9	7.7	.27	
Esprit	9/11	17.3	3.42	9.2	15.5	.59	9/24	19.0	3.40	7.2	12.3	.57	
Landot 4511	9/3	19.2	3.41	6.9	6.0	.16	9/25	20.2	3.46	7.8	1.1	.12	
Léon Millot	8/22	19.0	3.47	8.9	11.0	.19	9/17	20.0	3.47	8.0	5.9	.16	
St. Vincent	10/6 ^t	17.8	3.17	10.7	7.6	.36	10/1	19.0	3.36	6.6	2.4	.31	
Vidal Blanc	9/11	17.4	3.39	8.7	12.7	.49	10/2	22.0	3.46	9.2	.3	.33	
Maréchal Foch	8/27 ^t	19.2	3.33	11.4	6.6	.16	9/17	20.8	3.50	7.4	5.2	.15	
Frontenac	9/17	22.4	3.31	13.2	10.1	.23	9/29	22.0	3.58	11.6	7.0	.20	
Cynthiana	10/6 ^t	20.2	3.18	15.3	5.6	.15	10/6	20.0	3.17	19.7	.6	.10	
St. Croix	8/27	18.2	3.38	9.6	8.7	.19	9/8	18.0	3.29	9.3	8.8	.27	
Chambourcin	10/6 ^t	20.9	3.28	9.3	5.6	.42	10/6	21.8	3.46	10.4	.1	.37	
Seyval Blanc	8/27	20.7	3.37	8.1	9.4	.48	10/1	23.0	3.77	4.0	4.3	.37	
La Crosse	9/3 ^t	17.0	3.21	10.7	10.7	.25	9/8	17.0	3.10	10.4	9.4	.27	
Vignole	9/17	20.9	3.19	10.1	6.5	.25	10/2	23.3	3.50	9.8	.4	.14	
Traminette	9/17	19.1	3.16	8.1	5.7	.26	9/26	20.6	.	.	.1	.18	
Edelweiss	8/27	16.0	3.44	9.2	7.5	.31	8/25	15.0	3.07	11.7	5.9	.33	
Marquis	8/19	17.0 min.	.	.	4.7	.45	9/25	18.0	.	.	.3	.27	
Vanessa	8/19	17.0 min.	.	.	3.9	.23	9/8	20.0	.	.	.1	.07	
Reliance	8/19	17.0 min.	.	.	8.6	.50	8/25	19.8	3.03	11.2	5.7	.41	
Mars	9/3	17.0 min.	.	.	12.3	.41	9/2	16.0	3.09	7.2	14.3	.50	
Jupiter	8/19	17.0 min.	.	.	8.2	.31	9/9	17.9	3.12	7.2	.7	.28	
<i>LSD, P<.05</i>					3.6	.06						2.3	.08

^z Titratable acids reported in grams/liter.^y Planted in 2004.^x Planted in 2005.^w Planted in 2006.^v Named and released in 2006: Noiret (NY73.136.17); Corot noir (NY70.0809.10); and Marquette (MN-1211).